Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,880	LEWIS, NINA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dipakkumar Gandhi	2133
All Participants:	Status of Application	
(1) <u>Dipakkumar Gandhi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gerald Chan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ North Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) lo	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 10, 11, 19, 21, 39, 43 and 44.		
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,289,462 B1 (McNabb et al.)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of all issues. 	tion. The examiner will provide a viperate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview
		-
De Jandi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims 10 and 43 are cancelled and the claims 1, 11, 19, 21, 39, and 44 are amended. The claims 1, 19, and 39 are amended for the purpose of clarifying what Applicant regards as the invention. The claims 11 and 44 are amended to change claim dependencies. The claim 21 is amended to bring this claim into conformity with the language of its base claim. No new matter has been added. The applicant's attorney Gerald Chan agreed for these amendments to the claims.